Governor C	hris Christie	۵ Li	t. Governor	Kim	Guad	lagno
NJ Home	Services A to	ΖI	Departments/	Agenc	ies I	FAQs
Search:	NJ DOE	,				C 3

NJDOE Home Families Students Educators Community

>>SPECIAL EDUCATION >>ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT >>HUNTERDON >>FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP

Local District Special Education Public Report for 2012-2013

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

Data Notes:

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels. N: NO Y: YES

						·····	
			FRANKLIN 1 HUNTERDC Year 201	N County			
Indicator 1: <u>Gra</u> Data Source: Higi	duation Rates - h School Graduatio	Performance Inconnection	dicator ion Date: Augus	st 2012)			
	with IEPs gradua				Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
	eported data on E lot in Graduation		Data Collection		NA	75.0%	NA
	p-Out Rates - Po of Year Report (Colle						
Percent of youth	with IEPs droppi	ng out of high scl	hool		Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
** Indicates no reported data on End of the Year Data Collection NIC Indicates "Not in Graduation Cohort" NA 14.0%							NA
							-
	essment - Perfo A Accountability Data)r				
	e districts with a c ble Objective (AM			e State's minimum group.	"n" size that mee	et the State's	Met Target
	the state "n" size the state "n" size	for previous yea	ır				-
				nt with no accomm ards; alternate ass			
Subject	LEA · Data	State Target	Met State Target	Subject	LEA Data	State Target	Met State Target
LAL	· Data	97.0%	-	Math	Data	97.0%	Taryer
L	te for children wit		rade level stand	lards and alternate	L e achievement sta		
	LEA	LEA	Met LEA		LEA	LEA	Met LEA
Subject	Data	Target	Target	Subject	Data	Target	Target
LAL	-	-%]		Math	-	-%	-
No target as	t the state "n" siz the district has n with Confidence Ir orted	io minimum [°] 'N' si					
Indicator 4A: <u>Su</u> Data Source: Elec				uly 1, 2011 - June 3	30, 2012)		
Was the district i	dentified by the S	itate as having a	significant disc	repancy in the rate	es of suspensions	and expulsions	N

N

of children with IEPs for greater than 10 days in a school year?

Indicator 4B: <u>Suspension/Expulsion - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System(July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012)	
Does the district have: (a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expul	sions of

greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs ; and

(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.

Indicator 5: <u>School Age LRE - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2012)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2012 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	52.6%	48.0%	Y
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	0%	16.5%	Y
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	2.6%	6.7%	Y

Indicator 6: <u>Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator NEW</u> Data Source: <u>Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2012)</u>

* Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2012 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	100.0%	42.5%	Y
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	0.0%	36.0%	Y

Indicator 7: <u>Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager

NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	78.8%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.7%	NIC
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	64.4%	NIC
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program. 	NIC	50.8%	NIC

Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
 Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program. 	NIC	70.0%	NIC
 The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome 	NIO	70.070	
C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.2%	NIC
Indicator 8: <u>Parent Involvement - Performance Indicator</u> Data Source: Survey Report	цалания — <u>.</u>		
Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement			Met Stat
as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.	Local Data	State Target	Target
NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"			
 Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful 			
interpretation of the data	94.1%	84.0%	Y
Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2010, 2011, 2012) and Fall Surveys (October 2010,	October 2011 Oc	tober 2012)	
Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic			
and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?	o groups in spee		N
Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2012)			
Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethr categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?	nic groups in spe	cific disability	N
Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find			
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2013 for the period July 1, 2012 to Jul	ne 30, 2013)		
Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of			Met State
receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Target
* Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection			
*** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate	100.0%	100.0%	Y
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliance Indicator		···········	
Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2013 for the period July 1, 2012 to Jul	ne 30, 2013)		
Note: Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were submitted	after October 15.	2013 cannot be	reflected
in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were for data verification original data submission.	purposes only a	nd were not for r	evising the
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri	purposes only a	nd were not for re	evising the
original data submission.	purposes only a	nd were not for re	evising the
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri	purposes only a	nd were not for re	evising the Is and
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	purposes only and of collection re	nd were not for referration	evising the Ils and Met State
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable"	purposes only and of collection re	nd were not for referration	evising the Ils and Met State
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B	purposes only a od of collection re Local Data	nd were not for referration	evising the ils and Met State
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B	purposes only and of collection re	nd were not for referration of the referration of t	Net State Target
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator	purposes only a od of collection re Local Data	nd were not for referration of the referration of t	Net State Target
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B ***** No Eligible students Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes	purposes only an od of collection ro Local Data 100.0%	nd were not for referra	evising the uls and Met State Target Y Met State
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B ***** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based	purposes only a od of collection re Local Data	nd were not for referration of the referration of t	evising the uls and Met State Target Y
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including	purposes only an od of collection ro Local Data 100.0%	nd were not for referra	evising the uls and Met State Target Y Met State
Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: <u>Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition	purposes only an od of collection ro Local Data 100.0%	nd were not for referra	evising the uls and Met State Target Y Met State
original data submission. Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" *** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the	purposes only an od of collection ro Local Data 100.0%	nd were not for referra	evising the uls and Met State Target Y Met State
Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2013, the time peri- evaluations completed during the 2012 - 2013 School Year. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays. * Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable" **** 0 students referred from Part C to part B **** No Eligible students Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition	purposes only an od of collection ro Local Data 100.0%	nd were not for referra	evising the uls and Met State Target Y Met State

age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100.			
NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort"	NIC	100.0%	NIC

Indicator 14: <u>Post Secondary Transition Outcomes</u> Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey

NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	46.0%	NIC
B. Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	75.0%	NIC
C. Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	86.0%	NIC



Contact Us | Privacy Notice | Legal Statement & Disclaimers | Accessibility Statement

Department: Families | Students | Educators | Community | Programs & Services | Ask DOE | DOE A to Z

 ${\bf Statewide}:$ NJ Home | Services A to Z | Departments/Agencies | FAQs Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1996 - 2010

NJ Department of Education, PO Box 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500, (877)900-6960